

POTTER HANDY, LLP  
Mark Potter, Esq., SBN 166317  
Barry M. Walker, SBN 195947  
Jim Treglio, Esq., SBN 228077  
Tehniat Zaman, SBN 321557  
Mail: 100 Pine St., Ste. 1250  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(858) 375-7385; (888) 422-5191 fax  
[serve@potterhandy.com](mailto:serve@potterhandy.com)

Attorneys for Moving Parties,  
TRISHA WILKUS, et al.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: 23ANDME, INC. CUSTOMER  
DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

This Document Related to: ALL ACTIONS

CASE NO.: 3:24-md-03098-EMC

Assigned to the  
Honorable Edward M. Chen

**AMENDED REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL  
NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO  
INTERVENE AND OBJECTION TO  
FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT  
AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Movants Trisha Wilkus, et al hereby request, pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, that the Court take judicial notice of the following items in connection with Movants Motion to Intervene and Objection to Final Approval of Settlement and Preliminary Injunction:.

1. Exhibit A: Complaint, *Wilkus v. 23andMe, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV429673, Superior Court of California- Santa Clara County
2. Exhibit B: Complaint, *Diaz v. 23andMe, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV448217, Superior Court of California- Santa Clara County
3. Exhibit C: Complaint, *Cantu v. 23andMe, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV448825, Superior Court of California- Santa Clara County

1 4. Exhibit D: Complaint, *Powell v. 23andMe, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV448695, Superior  
2 Court of California- Santa Clara County

3 5. Exhibit E: Complaint, *Shaw v. 23andMe, Inc.*, Case No. 24CV449936, Superior  
4 Court of California- Santa Clara County

5 Facts subject to judicial notice include those that “can be accurately and readily determined  
6 from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(2). The Court  
7 “must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary  
8 information.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(c)(2). Courts regularly take judicial notice of “undisputed matters  
9 of public record, including documents on file in federal or state courts.” *Harris v. Cty. Of Orange*,  
10 682 F.3d 1126, 1131-32 (9th Cir. 2012) (internal citations omitted); *Lee v. City of Los Angeles*, 250  
11 F.3d 668, 688-89 (9th Cir. 2001); *Sosinsky v. Grant* 6 Cal. App. 4th 1548, 1561-1562 (1992).  
12 Exhibits A through E are complaints filed in the Superior Court of California- Santa Clara County.

13 The above items meet the requirements of Rule 201(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Evidence,  
14 and therefore, the Court must take judicial notice of them pursuant to Rule 201(c)(2) of the Federal  
15 Rules of Evidence.

16  
17 Dated: November 12, 2024 POTTER HANDY, LLP

18 By: /s/ Barry M. Walker  
19 Attorneys for Movants  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27